

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.hspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,946	10/15/2001	Sergio Morini	IR-2095	9611
2352 7	590 03/12/2003			
OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403			EXAMINER	
			CHANG, DANIEL D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2819	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Office Action Summary Application No. O9/975,946 Examiner Daniel D. Chang Application No. Application No. O9/975,946 MORINI E	ET AL.				
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit					
- Laminor Art office					
Daniel D. Chang 2819					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond	dence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be consist if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce a seamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status	idered timely. ate of this communication. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 February 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 2 Disposition of Claims	213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-21</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 December 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	·				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this N application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	National Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					

Application/Control Number: 09/975,946

Art Unit: 2819

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because of the following errors:

In Fig. 2, a solid line above capacitor C should be a dotted line.

In Fig. 3, the input pulse 104 and S of 102 should be separated.

In Fig. 4, right half of a solid line between P1 and P3 below 150 should be deleted, and the left half should be a dotted line.

In Fig. 5, "N1" in lower right circuit should be --N3--.

In Fig. 6, the input pulse 196 and N3 IN of 194 should be separated.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: for example, on page 2, line 18, the number "54" appears to be --52--. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/975,946

Art Unit: 2819

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kuroda (US 5,742,183).

Regarding claim 1, in figures 3A and 4A, Kuroda teaches a digital level shift circuit comprising:

a level shifting device (MN1) that is turned on to make an output transition (col. 5, lines 12-40); and

feedback circuitry (MN2, MP2) that obtains a feedback signal indicating that the level shifting device has made the output tranition and that turns off the level shifting device in response to the feedback signal (col. 5, lines 41-54).

Regarding claim 2, in figures 3A and 4A, Kuroda teaches that the level shifting device (MN1) receives a turn-on signal (when n4=VDDH) that turns on the device to make the output transition.

Regarding claim 3, in figures 3A and 4A, Kuroda teaches that the output signal voltage range (does not necessarily mean minimum logic LOW to maximum logic HIGH voltage) extends from an offset voltage (VDDH-VDDL) to an upper voltage (VDDH) that is the sum of

Application/Control Number: 09/975,946

Art Unit: 2819

the offset voltage (VDDH-VDDL) and a fixed supply voltage (VDDH); and the offset voltage changing rapidly (more rapid than any slower changing voltage).

Regarding claim 4, in figures 3A and 4A, Kuroda teaches that the feedback circuitry includes a feedback device (either MN2/MP2 or MP1), the feedback device providing the feedback signal by turning on (either MN2 or MP1) when the level shifting device makes the output transition.

Regarding claim 5, in figures 3A and 4A, Kuroda teaches that one of the level shifting device (MN1) and the feedback device (either MP2 or MP1) is an n-channel device and the other is a p-channel device (col. 4, lines 62+).

Regarding claim 6, in figures 3A and 4A, Kuroda teaches that the n-channel and p-channel devices are high voltage MOS transistors (higher than any other lower voltage MOS transistors).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-21 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel D. Chang whose telephone number is (703) 306-4549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Tokar can be reached on (703) 305-3493. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 2819

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Daniel D. Chang Primary Examiner Art Unit 2819

DC March 7, 2003 DANIEL CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER